

# Certificate of Field Compliance

## Clevedon School

Clevedon, Bristol, England

FIH is pleased to confirm that this hockey field has been tested and shown to comply with the requirements of an FIH Category 3 hockey field.

Certification & field details

Hockey surface	Product	FASTPRO HF 22 MM + IN-SITU 15MM
	Manufacturer	COCREATION GRASS CO. LTD
Certificate No.		CF-23-028
Date of field test	18/11/202	22 Certificate valid till: 18/11/2025

Tayyab Ikram FIH President

Date of certificate: 16 January 2023

Note: Category 3 hockey fields are intended for national & local competitions (subject to local competition rules), and training





# FIELD TEST REPORT

Field name / designation	Clevedon School						
City	Clev	/edon					
Country	Unit	ed Kingdom					
Category of field	3	Hockey field intended for national & local competitions, and training					
Type of test	Initi	al field certification					



INTERNATIONAL HOCKEY FEDERATION FÉDÉRATION INTERNATIONALE DE HOCKEY

fih.ch/qp



### 1 Introduction

A hockey field is a major investment, so it is very important that it meets the expectations of players, funders, site operators, and those organising matches to be played on it. To ensure good quality fields are built, the FIH has developed its Hockey Turf and Field Standards (HTFS). These define the qualities required from the playing surface and the layout and construction criteria of 11 a-side hockey fields.

The HTFS describes five categories of hockey fields, based on the various levels of play and use that takes place, from elite level competitions to grassroots development and community play. The field detailed in this report has been tested as a Category 3 field. This category is typically used by clubs, colleges and schools participating in national and regional competitions.

This report details the results of the field test recently undertaken. The field test included measurements of the sports performance and player welfare properties of the playing surface. A comprehensive series of quality control checks were also undertaken to verify that the installed hockey turf surface is the same as the product previously approved by the FIH, ensuring manufacturing mistakes have not occurred.

The tests were undertaken by a FIH accredited test institute. The results obtained are detailed on the following pages. Results highlighted in green show compliance with the requirements of the HTFS. Results highlighted in pink indicate non-compliance. When noncompliance is noted, further details are provided at the rear of this report. Results not highlighted are provided for information only.

On the basis of this report, the FIH will assess the suitability of the field for FIH Field Certification. If the field is found to comply with the FIH requirements, a certificate of compliance will be issued, and the field will be listed on the FIH website.

Fields less than 12 months old at the time of the initial field test are certified for 3 years from the date of the field test. Fields older than 12 months are certified for 2 years.

Over time and through use, the performance, condition and suitability of the field to host hockey matches will change. It is therefore important that the field is re-checked periodically. This allows the site operator to demonstrate that the field is continuing to provide a safe and suitable playing environment; re-checking is good practice and a simple way for the site operator to demonstrate they are continuing to meet their obligations to provide a facility that is fit for purpose. The FIH recommends, and some National Hockey Associations require, fields to be re-tested at the end of each certification period.



Please think about the environment before printing this report. If you do require a paper copy, please set your printer to print on both sides of the paper.





### 2 Field details

	Road	Valley Road			
	City	Clevedon			
Location	State/Province/County	Bristol			
	Country	United Kingdom			
	Post/Zip code	BS21 6AH			
	Name	Gary Nash			
Field owner's contact details	Position	Facility Manager			
	Email	gnash@clevedonschool.org.uk			
Date of construction (handow	/er month & year)	18/11/22			
Installed hockey turf (produc	t name)	FASTPRO HF 22 MM + IN-SITU 15MM			
Manufacturer (FIH licensee)		COCREATION GRASS CO. LTD			
Hockey turf approval categ (as shown on FIH certificate)	lory	NATIONAL			
Hockey turf certificate num (as shown on FIH certificate)	ber	HT-22-154			
Field builder's name (only required if the field was built FIH Certified Field Builder)	by an FIH Preferred Supplier or				

### 3 Test institute details

Test Institute	Sports Labs Ltd
FIH Accredited Field Test Engineer(s)	James Cox
Other participating field test engineers	Joe Mellor
Test institute project / report reference	23365/3342s





### 4 Test details





#### Sports performance 5

#### 5.1 **Ball rebound**

Hockey balls should not bounce too high or too low; the bounce also needs to be consistent. These aspects of a field's performance are assessed by measuring the height a hockey ball rebounds when dropped vertically from a height of 2.0 m. Tests are made in a number of locations on the field. For a field to comply, the rebound in each test position must be within the specified range, and the rebound properties must be consistent across the field.

Results (mm)									
TP1	TP2		TP3	TP4		TP5			Overall mean
336	344	323		3	327	331			332
		Yes		Х					
Requirements:	100 mm – 425	mm	Complian	t:	No				
	'								
Ball rebound co	onsistency (% dif	ferenc	ce to overa	ll mean	1)				
TP1	TP2		TP3	Т	P4	TP5			
1	4		-3		-2		0		
				Yes		Х			
Requirements:	≤ ± 20%		Compliar	nt:	No				





#### 5.2 **Ball roll**

Ball roll assesses the speed of the surface. It is measured by rolling a hockey ball down a ramp and measuring the distance it travels and the degree to which it deviates from a straight line. Tests are made in a number of locations on the field and in different directions. To satisfy the FIH requirements the ball roll must exceed the minimum ball roll distance, be consistent irrespective of direction and not excessively deviate from a straight line.

Results (m)											
TD				D	irectio	n of tes	st				
41	А	E	31	Bź	2		C	D1	D2		
7a	9.0	9	.2	9.:	2	10	0.0	9.0	9.0		
7b	9.0	9	.0			9	.5	9.0			
7c	9.2	9	.4	9.!	5	10	).6	9.0	9.0		
7d	9.1	9	.1			9	.9	9.0			
			Overal	l mean	ç	9.3					
Requirements:	≥ 9.0 m		Comp	oliant:		10					
					•						
Ball roll consiste	ncy (% differe	nce to	overall	mean)							
7a	-3	_	-1	-1		-	7	-3	-3		
7b	-3	_	3				2	-3			
7c	-1		1	3		1	4	-3	-3		
7d	-2	-	2			-	7	-3			
			V	05	V						
Requirements:	≤ ±20%	1	Comp	liant:			~				
						10					





#### 5.3 **Ball roll deviation**

Results (m)									
TD				C	Directio	n of te	st		
IP	А	E	31	B2		С		D1	D2
7a	0.15	0.	0.05		20	0.20		0.15	0.05
7b	0.15	0.	0.10			0.	00	0.15	
7c	0.10	0.	20	0.	00	0.	05	0.05	0.20
7d	0.00	0.	05	5		0.	15	0.10	
					V		$\sim$		
Requirements	< 0.45 m @ 8	35 m	Comp	liant <sup>.</sup>	16	52	^		
Requirements.	≤ 0.45 m @ 8.5 m			iidirt.	N	0			

#### 5.4 Shock Absorption

Shock absorption assesses the cushioning provided to players as they run and fall on the surface. The impact force experienced during the test is measured and compared to the value measured on concrete; the result being expressed as a percentage reduction. The higher the result the greater the shock absorption. A minimum value is specified to ensure fields are not too hard and an upper limit is specified to ensure fields are not too soft or tiring.

Results (% Fo	Results (% Force Reduction)											
TP1		TP2	TP3		TP	4	TP5		TP6	Overall mean		
57		55		54	57	57		9	60	57		
Doquiromont	<u> </u>		004	Compli	anti	Y	′es	Х				
Requirement	5.	45% - 0	0%0			1	No					
Shock absorp	otic	on consister	ncy (d	ifference	to over	all mea	an)					
0		-2		-3	0		2		3			
Demission						Y	′es	Х				
Requirements:		S Ŧ O	≤ ± 5		Compliant:		No					





#### Vertical Deformation 5.5

The degree to which a playing surface compresses when a player runs on it is also an important characteristic. Surfaces should allow some deformation to ensure injuries do not occur through the jarring of a player's foot, but it is also important that the deformation is not too high, or players will find the surface unstable.

Results (mm)									
TP1	TP2	TF	TP3		TP4		P5	TP6	Overall mean
7	7	7	,	7		8		9	7
Doguinopoort			Const		Y	es	Х		
Requirement	s: 4 mm –	4 mm – 9 mm			Ν	lo			

#### 5.6 Shoe/Surface Interaction (Nm)

Shoe/surface interaction is assessed by measuring the resistance the surface offers to a loaded test plate designed to simulate a hockey shoe rotating on the surface. If the level of resistance is too low players will find the surface slippery. If the level is too high players may suffer injuries due to excessive foot grip.

Results (Nm)											
TP1	TP2 TP		P3	TP	4	TP5		TP6	Overall mean		
25		25		25		5	26		25	25	
	25 No. (	<b>F</b> N 1	6		Yes X						
Requirements: 25 Nm – 45 Nm				Cor	npilant:	No					
				·							
Shoe/surface	e In	teraction co	onsiste	ency (vo	ariation to	o over	all mea	an Nm)			
0		0		0	0			0	0		
	Yor Y										
Requirements:		: ≤± 5 Nm		Compl	iant:	N	lo				





#### 5.7 Surface regularity

It is important that there are no depressions or high spots that could distort the trajectory of a ball rolling across the surface or cause it to lift. The whole field is surveyed using a 3 m straightedge and any undulations greater than 6 mm recorded. Any sudden steps (raised edges on carpet or shockpad joints, etc.) are also checked using a 0.3 m straightedge.

Excessive undulations or high spots											
	Limit	Number recorded	Comp	oliant							
3 m straightedge	> 6 mm	0	Yes	Х							
0.3 m straightedge	>3 mm	0	No								
If undulations or high	coate are found their pe	cition and magnit	uda ara	indicat	ad an the drawing						

If undulations or high spots are found their position and magnitude are indicated on the drawing at the rear of this report.

### 6 Field dimensions

The field of play shall measure 91.40 m x 55.00 m and be rectangular (see section 7 for measurement key).

End run-offs must be at least 3.0m wide, and side run-offs must be at least 2.0m wide.

The inner run-offs must be surfaced with the same hockey turf as the field of play.

Field meas	surements (m	)										Com	Compliant	
	Tolerance (mm)	Ref.	Actual (m)		Error (mm)			Ref	Actual (m)		Error (mm)	Yes	No	
Length	± 50	Q1	91.4	4		0		Q2	91	.3	0.01	Х		
Width	± 50	A1	55			0		A2	55	.01	0.01	Х		
Field of plo	ay diagonals (	1		100	6.55	5	2	1	06.54					
Difference	between dia		0.01 Requirement ≤					≤ 300	mm	Х				
Run-offs (m)														
	Inne	r run-c	ff		Outer run-off						Total	Com	oliant	
	Surface		Width			Surfo	ace		W	idth	width	Yes	No	
End 1	Artificial Tur	f	3.00		Tar	mac			2	.00	5.00	Х		
End 2	Artificial Tur	f	3.00		Tar	mac			2	.00	5.00	Х		
Side 1	Artificial Tur	f	3.00		Tarmac			2	.00	5.00	Х			
Side 2	Artificial Tur	f	3.00		Tar	mac			2	.00	5.00	Х		





#### Hockey line markings 7

Line markings are checked to ensure compliance with the Rules of Hockey and the HTFS.







Distance (m)	Tolorgaso	Dof	Actual (m)	Error	Compliant		Dof Actua	Actual	Error	Compliant	
Distance (m)	Tolerance	Rel.	Actual (m)	(m)	Yes	No	Rel.	(m)	(m)	Yes	No
<i>(</i> <b>F 7</b> 0	+ E0 mm	B1	45.72	0.02	Х		B2	45.71	0.01	Х	
45.70	± 50 mm	B3	45.7	0.00	Х		B4	45.7	0.00	Х	
22.00	. 50	C1	22.91	0.01	Х		C2	22.9	0.00	х	
22.90	± 50 mm	C3	22.91	0.01	Х		C4	22.87	0.03	х	
		D1	0.31	0.01	Х		D2	0.30	0.00	х	
		D3	0.30	0.00	Х		D4	0.30	0.00	Х	
0.70	. 70	D5	0.30	0.00	Х		D6	0.30	0.00	Х	
0.50	± 30 mm	D7	0.32	0.02	Х		D8	0.30	0.00	Х	
		D9	0.30	0.00	Х		D10	0.31	0.01	х	
		D11	0.30	0.00	Х		D12	0.30	0.00	х	
5.00	± 30 mm	E1					E2				
3.00	± 50 mm	F1					F2				
0.30	± 30 mm	G1					G2				
4.975	± 50 mm	H1	4.96	0.015	Х		H2	4.97	0.005	Х	
		H3	4.99	0.015	Х		H4	4.97	0.005	Х	
	+ 50 mm	11	9.96	0.015	Х		12	9.97	0.005	Х	
9.975	± 50 mm	13	9.98	0.005	Х		14	9.97	0.005	Х	
14.63	± 30 mm	J1	14.64	0.01	Х		J2	14.64	0.001	Х	
14.63	± 30 mm	J3	14.66	0.03	Х		J4	14.63	0.00	Х	
3.66	± 50 mm	K1	3.64	0.02	Х		K2	3.66	0.00	Х	
6.475	± 30 mm	L1	6.49	0.015	Х		L2	6.49	0.015	Х	
0.45	. 70	M1	0.15	0.00	Х		M2	0.15	0.00	Х	
0.15	± 30 mm	M4	0.15	0.00	Х		M5	0.16	0.01	х	
Ø 0.15	± 30 mm	M3	0.15	0.00	Х		M6	0.15	0.00	х	
3.66	± 50 mm	N1	3.67	0.01	Х		N2	3.66	0.00	х	
14.63	± 50 mm	P1	14.65	0.02	Х		P2	14.63	0.00	х	
14.63	± 50 mm	P3	14.63	0.00	Х		P4	14.65	0.02	х	
Line width (mm)	75 ± 10	A1	80	A2	80	Q1	80	Q2	80	Х	





8 Dlaving surface					Complian		oliant
					Υe	es	No
FIH National certified product?			>	<			
F F		FIH Global ce	rtified product?				
		an approved	shade of blue (RAL, 5002,				
Is the field of play	?	5005, 5010, 5017, 5019)			>	<	
		green	X				
What colour are t	he run-offs		Greer	۱			
			Field of Play (FoP)		>	(	
Are the yarn co approved produc	olours used, de t test report?	tailed in the	Run-offs		>	<	
-1-1			Lines		>	<	
Does the field hav	ve 5m dashed ci	rcle markings?	I				х
Does the field hav	ve cross pitch ho	ockey markings	5?				X
Does the field hav	ve markings for	any other spor	ts?				X
	Is the installed hockey turf free from manufacturing and visual defects?			>	<		
	Are there any carpet rucks, wrinkles, or any other installation defects within the FOP or run-offs?						x
	Are there any excessively open or failed carpet joints?						х
Dlay surface	Are the any joints that may cause a ball to lift or deviate as it passes over the joint?						x
quality and installation	Are there any other manufacturing or installation defects that mean in your opinion the field should not be certified?					x	
	Is the surface laid in full width rolls running across the FOP without head seams?			P	>	(	
	tensioned ar		nd clamped along the boundaries			YE	ES
	Is the hockey turf either:	bonded to the shockpad					
		loose laid					





			Comp	olaint
			Yes	No
	Are there any repairs to the playing surface?			x
Play surface quality and installation	If there are any repairs, have they been undertaken in a satisfactory way, so they do not compromise the performance or appearance of the field?	N/A		
	If there are any defects or repairs, has the field owner confirmed in writing they are still willing to accept the field?			

#### General field requirements 9

Oriontation	is the field glianed North (South $(\pm 15^{\circ})$				
Onentation	is the field diighed North / South (± 15 )	Yes	No		
	Does the fencing ensure balls cannot pass through it and leave the field?	x			
Perimeter fencing	Is the fencing in an acceptable condition and not pose a risk to anyone colliding with it?	х			
	Is there emergency vehicle access onto the field?	х			
	Is the field equipped with hockey goals and nets?	Х			
Field equipment	Are the goals FIH Approved?		х		
	Are the goals in good condition and suitable for use on an FIH certified field?	х			
Maintenance	Is the field equipped with the necessary maintenance	N/			
equipment equipment, recommended by the hockey turf manufacturer?		A			
Are there any other features that you consider may have an adverse effect on the					
playing qualities of the field or could be a possible hazard to players, officials or					
spectators using the facility?					





#### Field profile and gradients 10

The profile and gradients of the field should comply with Clause 4.2 of the HTFS.







### 11 Hockey turf quality assurance tests

To verify that the hockey turf installed on the field is the same as the FIH Approved Product, and manufacturer's declaration, representative samples have been checked.

	Chausatauistia	Manufacturer's declaration	Cita agreente	Permitted	Compliant	
	Characteristic		Site sample	tolerance	Yes	No
	Method of manufacture	Tufted	Tufted		Х	
	Pile type	Curly Monofilament	Curly Monofilamen t	Same type	х	
	Pile profile	Rectangular	Rectangular	Same profile	Х	
bet	Pile height (mm)	22	24	<u>+</u> 10%	Х	
carp	Pile weight (g/m²)	1390	1406	<u>+</u> 10%	Х	
turf	Pile dtex	8000	7665	± 10%	Х	
key	Pile thickness (mm)	130	130	≥ 90%	Х	
Hocl	Yarn polymer & DSC peak temp.	130.03	DG: 130.07 LG: 129.97	Same polymer	Х	
	Tufts/ m <sup>2</sup>	30450	30751	<u>+</u> 10%	Х	
	Filaments/m <sup>2</sup>	487200	492032	<u>+</u> 10%	Х	
	Carpet mass g/m <sup>2</sup>	2700	2566	<u>+</u> 10%	Х	
	Water permeability (mm/h)	1296	2733	<u>&gt;</u> 90%	Х	
	Composition (1)	Insitu	Insitu		Х	
σ	Manufacturer <sup>(1)</sup>	CCGrass	CCGrass		Х	
<pre></pre>	Thickness <sup>(2)</sup> (mm)	15	15	90% - 130%	Х	
hoc	Mass/m <sup>2</sup>	10	9.5	<u>+</u> 10%	Х	
0)	Shock absorption <sup>(3)</sup> (%FR)	48	53	<u>+</u> 5% SA	Х	
	Water permeability (mm/h)	3000	9417	<u>&gt;</u> 90%	Х	

Notes:

1 – prefabricated shockpads only

2 - not applicable if an existing shockpad is retained when a field is being re-surfaced.

3 - applicable to new fields or when a new shockpad is laid on an existing field during re-surfacing.

abilising infill applicable)	Infill type	Sand	Sand		Х	
	Particle Grading (mm)	0.315 – 0.80	0.20 - 0.80	80% d & D ≤ 5% passing 0.15 mm	Х	
	Particle Shape	Rounded	C2	Similar shape	Х	
Sto (if	Bulk density (kg/m³)	1.5	1.50	<u>+</u> 15%	Х	





### 12 Plan showing location of any defects, failures, or items of concern



13 Additional comments by test institute











### Test institute declaration

We certify that the tests described in this report have been carried out in accordance with the latest requirements of the FIH Hockey Turf and Field Standards and this report accurately reflects the outcomes.

We further certify that in our opinion there were no defects that compromise the quality, performance, player safety, or durability of the field at the time it was tested.

Report prepared by		nguispe			
		Name	Michael Gillespie		
Report authorised by		KMuephso			
		Name	Keith McPherson		
Date		01/12/22			





Rue du Valentin 61 1004 Lausanne Switzerland

www.fih.ch